State of Hawaii Department of Labor and Industrial Relations Office of Community Services

Addendum 1

12/27/2012

To

Request for Proposals

OCS LBR 903-10_14 Legal Services for Immigrants December 27, 2012 December 27, 2012

ADDENDUM NO. 1

To

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS LEGAL SERVICES FOR IMMIGRANTS OCS LBR 903-10_14

The Office of Community Services is issuing this addendum to OCS LBR 903-10_14, Legal Services For Immigrants for the purposes of:

14, 20	onding to questions that arose at the orientation meeting of December 012 and written questions subsequently submitted in accordance with on 1-V, of the RFP.
Amer	nding the RFP.
Final	Revised Proposals
The proposal submit	tal deadline:
is am	ended to January 22, 2013
is not	amended.
for Fi	nal Revised Proposals is <date>.</date>
Attached is (are):	
	mmary of the questions raised and responses for purposes of acation of the RFP requirements.
Amer	adments to the RFP.
If you have any quest En Young (808) 586-8675 En.H.Young@hawa 830 Punchbowl Stre Honolulu, HI 96813	<u>i.gov</u>

Responses to Question Raised by Applicants For OCS LBR 903-10_14, Legal Services for Immigrants

1. Is the RFP deadline date (January 21, 2013) correct? Isn't that a holiday?

January 21, 2013 is indeed Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Day. All RFP's with a due date of January 21, 2013 are amended to January 22, 2013.

2. Is it prohibited to add a line item to our proposed budget for translation and interpretation?

OCS places no restrictions on any applicants' budget proposals. However, applicants should bear in mind each proposal will be evaluated on reasonableness of costs, capacity of the agency, and responsiveness of proposed services to the solicitation.

3. Does the phrase "number of cases" refer to individual legal matters or a single client, regardless of the number of legal issues addressed? For example, if our agency assists a single client to resolve multiple, distinct legal problems (e.g., eviction defense, domestic violence, and employment), is this counted as three "cases" or a single "case?"

Our intent is to serve as many people as possible. Based on recent data and needs assessments, OCS' proposed funding will not be sufficient to provide services for all who are in need. Therefore, we would like to apply the funding as evenly as possible. However, the applicant is free to propose any service treatment methodology they believe is beneficial, and must substantiate this in their proposal.

4. Given that attorneys are bound by strict rules of professional conduct regarding client confidentiality, are there alternative methods for contract monitoring and evaluation? Most attorneys would be unable-and would jeopardize their licensing- to reveal client names, intake information, etc. Is OCS willing to work with legal service providers under this RFP to identify alternate methods for contract monitoring and evaluation to preserve the legal standards for client confidentiality?

OCS has worked with numerous Legal, Medical, and other clinical providers in the past and is aware of confidentiality laws, policies and procedures. However, contract monitoring and evaluation and confidentiality are not mutually exclusive concepts. For example, many medical institutions as well as our own providers code files so as not to reveal any personal information while making the treatment and service information accessible for others.

All contractors, service providers, and vendors working with the State must remain accountable to taxpayers and services rendered must be provided in a way that can be documented and verified. In Human Services, this often means direct client contact and interviews for verification purposes.

As Section 2.2, **Contract Monitoring and Evaluation**, states throughout, each monitoring area "*may* include" the listed recommended activities. Contract Monitoring and Evaluation activities will be negotiated and decided upon award.